	Case 2:22-cv-01730-TLN-JDP Docume	ent 35 F	Filed 11/17/23	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	KORY T. O'BRIEN,	Case	No. 2:22-cv-017	730-TLN-JDP (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION		
13	v.	FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REPLY TO DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION		
14	R. GARZA, et al.,	ECF	No. 34	
15	Defendants.			
16				
17				
18	Plaintiff has filed a motion for an extension of time to file a reply to defendants'			
19	opposition to his motion to amend. ECF No. 34. Good cause appearing, it is hereby ORDERED			
20	that:			
21	1. Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time, ECF No. 34, is granted.			
22	2. Plaintiff is granted until December 6, 2023, to file a reply to defendants' opposition to			
23	his motion to amend.			
24	3. Failure to comply with this order may result in a recommendation of dismissal.			
25				
26	<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff argues that his reply brief was due by November 5, 2023. However, defendants filed their opposition on October 5, 2023, and, under the Local Rules, "[t]he moving party may, not more than fourteen (14) days after the opposition has been filed in CM/ECF, serve and file a reply to the opposition." L.R. 230( <i>l</i> ). Thus, plaintiff's reply was instead due by October 19,			
27				
28	2023.			-

## IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: November 16, 2023 JEREMY D. PETERSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Case 2:22-cv-01730-TLN-JDP Document 35 Filed 11/17/23 Page 2 of 2